Law Offices of Jacob Amaru Ì 530 S. Main Ave. 2 Tucson, AZ 85701 Phone: 520-465-6968 3 Fax: 866-651-6248 Bar No. 022333/ Pima County No. 65715 4 jake@defenselawtucson.com Attorney for Defendant 5 6 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 7 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHISE 8 9 STATE OF ARIZONA, 10 Case No: CR2019-00043, CR2019-00089 CR2019-00090, CR2019-00091 Plaintiff 11 12 13 ٧. MOTION FOR CHANGE OF VENUE 14 15 DOUGLAS PACKER, Hon. Laura Cardinal 16 Defendant. Division I 17 18 COMES NOW the Defendant, DOUGLAS PACKER, by and through his undersigned 19 20 attorney, JACOB M. AMARU, and respectfully moves for this Court, pursuant to Rule 10.3 of 21 the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Due Process Clause of the United States 22 Constitution, Amendments 5 and 14, Article 2 § 24 of the Arizona Constitution, for change of 23 venue of the trial due to pretrial publicity. This motion is supported by the following 24 25 Memorandum of Points and Authorities. 26 27 28

29

## Memorandum of Points and Authorities

### **FACTS**

In this case, Defendant Douglas Packer has been charged in an eight-count indictment for acts alleged to have occurred on or before October 10<sup>th</sup>, 2014 to January of 2015. Specially, these counts included sexual assault, multiple counts of unlawful sexual conduct and indecent exposure. All of the victims in this case were adult females whom were in custody at the Cochise County Jail at the time the alleged acts took place.

The Defendant, up until the time of his arrest, was employed by the Cochise County

Attorney's Office as a jail chaplain in the same jail where these alleged illegal acts were said to
have occurred. The Cochise County Sheriff, Mr. Packer's previous employer, arrested the

Defendant and is now investigating this case.

There has been extensive media coverage on this case. Some of this coverage includes multiple front-page stories in the local newspapers, television news coverage, and numerous Internet stories. A Google search of "Douglas Packer Chaplain" results in 19 different news stories on the first three pages of results. Below are a few such items that have been published in relation to this case:

January 5th, 2019- "Cochise County chaplain accused of sexual abuse in county jail." Fox 10 News.

www.fox10phoenix.com/news/arizona-news/cochise-county-chaplain-accused-of-sexual-abuse-in-county-jail

January 5th, 2019- "Cochise County Chaplain Arrested, Accused of Sex Crimes." U.S. News.

i	https://www.usnews.com/news/best-states/arizona/articles/2019-01-05/cochise-county-jail-
2	chaplain-arrested-accused-of-sex-crimes
4	January 5 <sup>th</sup> , 2019- "CCSO chaplain arrested." Douglas Dispatch.
5 6	https://www.douglasdispatch.com/news/ccso-chaplain-arrested/article_83e0e360-1121-11e9-
7	92d3-b7f5765fe057.html
8 9	January 5 <sup>th</sup> , 2019- "Cochise County chaplain arrested, accused of sex crimes." KGUN 9.
10	https://www.kgun9.com/photo-galleries/crime/cochise-county-jail-chaplain-arrested-accused-of-
11	sex-crimes
12	
13	January 5th, 2019- "Cochise County Sheriff's chaplain arrested on suspicion of sexual abuse."
14	Arizona Republic.
15	1 1/2 /2010/01/05/anabica naunty
16	https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/local/arizona-breaking/2019/01/05/cochise-county-
17	sheriffs-chaplain-arrested-suspicion-sexual-abuse/2492740002/
18 19	January 5th, 2019- "CCSO: Jail Chaplain facing sexual assault charges." KOLD News 13.
20 21	https://www.kold.com/2019/01/05/ccso-jail-chaplain-facing-sexual-assault-charges/
22	January 6th, 2019- "Cochise County Jail Chaplain Arrested for Sexual Assault." Arizona
23	Independent News Network.
24	
25	https://arizonadailvindependent.com/2019/01/06/cochise-county-jail-chaplain-arrested-for-
26	sexual-assault/
27 28	January 7th, 2019- "Cochise County Sheriff's chaplain arrested for sexual assault at Bisbee jail."
29	Azfamily.com
30	

!	https://www.azfamily.com/news/cochise-county-sheriff-s-chaplain-arrested-for-sexual-assault-
2	at/article 468615ae-1277-11e9-b179-174ef23575fa.html
3 4	January 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2019- "Cochise County Chaplain re-arrested on new charges of sexual abuse,
5	assault." Douglas Dispatch.
6	l Douglus Disputch.
7	https://www.douglasdispatch.com/news/cochise-county-chaplain-re-arrested-on-new-charges-of-
8	sexual/article_907eb1f0-15c9-11c9-9590-e31b8aec0d79.html
9	January 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2019- "Sierra Vista chaplain arrested for sexual assault, kidnapping." News 4
11	Tucson KVOA.
12	Tucson KVOA.
13	https://kvoa.com/news/2019/01/11/sicrra-vista-chaplain-arrested-for-sexual-assault-kidnapping/
14	January 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2019- "Jail Chaplain in Cochise County arrested for second time; faces assault
15	charges." Azfamily.com.
16	onargos. Azjamuy.com.
17	https://www.azfamily.com/news/jail-chaplain-in-cochise-county-arrested-for-second-time-
18	faces/article_18c5f99a-15dd-11e9-8734-0392b13435b4.html
20	January 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2019 updated January 24 <sup>th</sup> , 2019- "UPDATE: Cochise County chaplain facing ever
21	more sex crime charges." KOLD News 13.
22	more sex crime charges. Wells from 15.
23	https://www.kold.com/2019/01/11/another-arrest-new-charges-cochise-county-jail-chaplain/
24	January 13th, 2019- "Cochise County Sheriff's Office chaplain arrested on additional sexual
25	January 13, 2019- Cocinise County Shorters of the
26	abuse charges." Gila Herald.
27	https://gilaherald.com/cochise-county-sheriffs-office-chaplain-arrested-on-additional-sexual-
28	
29	abuse-charges/
30	

https://www.myheraldreview.com/news/cochise\_county/crying-inmate-led-to-investigation-of-sexual-abuse-by-jail/article\_065656f8-6bbe-11e9-a619-6f8df5231549.html

May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2019- "CCSO report details rumors, red flags of jail chaplain's conduct since 2014;

Detectives interviewed a dozen current and former inmates about Packer's actions."

Herald/Review Media.

https://www.myheraldreview.com/news/cochise\_county/ccso-report-details-rumors-red-flags-of-jail-chaplain-s/article\_28eac088-6ec7-11e9-b32b-5be2c2e7f153.html

May 8<sup>th</sup>, 2019- "Cochise County served \$2.3 million claim for jail chaplain's alleged sexual misconduct; Sheriff confirms ongoing internal review separate of criminal investigation." *Herald/Review*.

https://www.myheraldreview.com/news/cochise\_county/cochise-county-served-million-claim-for-jail-chaplain-s-alleged/article\_031df01c-7207-11e9-9757-531b6ec8d810.html

### LAW

A fair trial is a fundamental liberty secured by both the United States Constitution and the Arizona Constitution. State v. Bible, 175 Ariz. 549, 567, 858 P.2d 1152, 1170 (1993). Included in the right to a fair trial, is the right to have a jury determine the guilt or innocence of an accused solely on the evidence admitted at trail. Id. (citing Irving v. Dowd, 366 U.S. 717, 722, 81 S. Ct. 1639, 1642 (1961). The failure to accord an accused individual a fair hearing by a panel of impartial jurors violates "even the minimal standards of due process." Irvin, 366 U.S. at 722, 81, S. Ct. at 1642.

Pursuant to Rule 10.3 of the Arizona rules of Criminal Procedure, a defendant may seek a change of venue if the pre-trial publicity has been so pervasive that the defendant will probably be deprived of a fair trial. *State v. Cruz*, Ariz. 149 ¶ 12, 181 P.3d 196, 203 (2008). Courts have adopted a two-step inquiry to determine the effect of pretrial publicity: (1) did the publicity create a presumption of prejudice, and (2) has the defendant shown actual prejudice. *State v. Jones*, 197 Ariz. 290, ¶ 44, 4 P.3d 345, 362 (2000); *State v. Murray*, 184 Ariz. 9, 26, 906 P.2d 542, 559 (1995).

If "a defendant can show pretrial publicity so outrageous that it promises to turn the trail into a mockery of justice or a mere formality, prejudice will be presumed without examining the publicity's actual influence on the jury." *State v. Bible*, 175 Ariz. 549, 563, 858 P.2d 1152, 1166 (1993). A court must first ask if the publicity pervaded the court proceedings to such an extent that prejudice can be presumed. *State v. Miles*, 186 Ariz. 10, 15, 918 P.2d 1028, 1033 (1996). If not, the defendant must show actual prejudice. *Id*.

Prejudice will be presumed if the publicity has been so unfair, so prejudicial, and so pervasive that the court cannot give any credibility to the jurors' answers during *voir dire*. *Cruz*, 218 Ariz. at ¶ 15, 181 P.3d at 204 [quoting *State v. Bolton*, 182 Ariz. 290, 300, 896 P.2d 830, 840 (1995)]; see also *Irvin*, 366 U.S. at 727-728, 81 S. Ct. at 1645 (recognizing that when a defendant's life is at stake, and because of pretrial publicity, over two-thirds of the jurors were familiar with the material facts and had an opinion that the defendant was guilty, the jurors' statements of impartiality during *voir dire* should be given little weight). The Arizona Supreme Court has recognized that in cases where the media successfully "whip[s] up hysteria and passion in the community," courts have found presumption of prejudice to exist. *Bible*, 175 Ariz. at 565, 858 P.2d at 1168.

## **ARGUMENT**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# a. Pretrial publicity has been so pervasive that prejudice can be presumed.

Due to the extensive and pervasive pretrial publicity in this case, it should be presumed that the local jury pool has been prejudiced and that the venue must be changed in order for the Defendant to receive a fair trial. Not only has the Cochise County jury pool been bombarded with numerous prejudicial news stories about Mr. Packard on their TVs, in their local newspapers, and via the Internet, the Cochise County Sheriff's department itself, as the investigator in this case, has used the media platform to paint the Defendant in a most negative light. In a recent news story in the Gila Herald published on May 11th, 2019, Mark J. Dannels, the Sheriff of the Cochise County Sheriff's Department, publicly stated that Mr. Packer had "...violated his sacred oath of office and took advantage of those who truly depended on them in their time of need." In the same story, the Sheriff also commented that his employees, referring to Mr. Packard, are "always held a higher moral standard" because they took an oath to protect and serve. Such persuasive speech by such a well-known, trusted local public official will most certainly sway a local jury to believe that 1) Mr. did Packard did indeed take advantage of vulnerable incarcerated women; 2) that he is guilty of the crimes for which he is accused; and 3) that he needs to held to a higher moral standard than a non-Cochise County Sheriff employee. Also, by the Sheriff's words, it is evident that he has been emotionally compromised and unable to conduct an unbiased investigation of Mr. Packard's alleged illegal acts.

Furthermore, the media has whipped hysteria and passion in the Cochise County community by its use of defamatory publicity. In addition to using descriptions like "sexual abuse," "sexual assault," "sexual misconduct," "indecent exposure," "kidnapping," "forced to

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

watch another inmate perform oral sex on him" to describe his alleged behavior while working as jail chaplain, they also mention forensic evidence being taken from his home, multiple arrests, multiple victims, multiple interviews of inmates, and additional looming sex charges. If a jury is informed that Mr. Packard was charged with only 8 charges in his indictment but heard in a media story that there were some thirty additional charges looming elsewhere, it will likely affect its ability to remain impartial. Again, in such a small community with so much negative press, and a trusted Sheriff publicly speaking out against him in the news, it will be nearly impossible for Mr. Packard to get a panel of impartial jurors.

In conclusion, the pretrial publicity in this case has been become so pervasive, it is probable that Mr. Packard will be deprived of a fair trial. And to proceed with the trial in its current venue promises to turn the trial into a mockery of justice or a mere formality.

Therefore, based upon the presumption of extensive and pervasive pretrial publicly, this Court should grant the Defendant's motion for a change of venue.

### CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, Douglas Packer, for all of the reasons mentioned in this motion, humbly requests this Court grant his request for change of venue.

RESPECTFULLY SUMBITTED on this 2 th day of May

2019.

25

26

27

28

29

30

LAW OFFICE OF JACOB AMARU

## JACOB M. AMARU Attorney for Douglas Packer

COPIES of the foregoing mailed/delivered to:

Hon. Laura Cardinal, Division One. Cochise County Superior Court 100 Quality Hill Road Bisbee, AZ 85603

Lori Zucco
Deputy County Attorney
Cochise County Attorney's Office
150 Quality Hill Road